

PARRC Research, Inc



The Theolog Modalities

***PARRC RESEARCH:
THEOHEURISM—
“THE THEOLOG MODALITIES”***

THE PROPHECY AND APOLOGETICS RESEARCH AND RESOURCE CENTER

The Research Branch Of PARRC—working within the PARRC-domain *apologetic and evidentiary sciences*—strives to provide not only compelling reasons to believe in the God Of The Bible, the Bible itself, and to address the stringencies of the Great Commission, but to derive that which is called the **Finite Absolute** (another PARRC property)—the most truth that can be distilled from any topic, and delivered in the most productive way (indigenation and aggregation theory).

One of the ways that these finite absolutes can be pursued is through the understanding of things through several vectors:

- 1) The PARRC Research area called **Theoheurism** (the process of theological learning in a walk-forward method which adds continuously to the great mass of knowledge which it collects—and then utilizes it to provide compelling reasons to believe), which is the overall umbrella under which the individual studies are founded;
- 2) **Theosynthesis**, another PARRC property, which establishes two mechanisms: *Theofusion* and *Theofission*, which are (in order): a device which reconciles two disparate views of one subject in a way which reconstitutes the subject into its original, pristine state (with only saliency remaining); and a device which resolves one thing back into two component parts in an effort to eliminate the subsequent contamination of the original idea—and return the two views to their original, pristine states (with only saliency remaining);
- 3) **Theosentience**, which is an isometric view of reasoning; a twelve-faceted look at a point or prospective fact which 1) reveals ALL that the fact should bring forth, and 2) determines the veracity of the fact. Theology, science, philosophy, and logic are a few of these isometrics;
- 4) **The Gauntlet**, a twelve-level proofs-oriented investigation of the saliency of a potential fact as view through the eyes of the major academic and institutional systems: legal (for an examination of rights and wrongs—innocence or guilt, as it were), criminal (for cross-corroboration), physical, chemical, biological, philological, and others.

These are done to establish the empirical, hard facts regarding the saliency of a fact as it approaches the level of the Finite Absolute—where virtually no more debate is a function.

The Theolog Modalities looks at the Christian experience—through the Bible, and its illustrations and directives—in a more spatial, philosophical, impressionist way; in other words, *it strives to give us a “good idea” of how things may have, do, and will one day happen.*

The Theolog Modalities is a conceptual way of understanding things biblical; a look at how things might have been; how they might be accomplished; how they might bear the irreversible marks of truth—only minimized by our ability to conceive and understand...

Some potential examples are:

- 1) What exactly IS the image on the Shroud Of Turin?
- 2) How—perhaps—was the Resurrection accomplished? What were the mechanisms?
- 3) What was the Transfiguration?
- 4) How were the languages “scrambled” at Babel?
- 5) Are there mechanisms which describe how a Rapture could occur?
- 6) As far as our human ability to comprehend, how was the Ascension attained?
- 7) Are the events described in Revelation possible? Can they be described in modern terms?
- 8) Was there more than ONE creation? Two? Three?
- 9) Was Adam a type of Superman?
- 10) Was Eve a clone?

These ideas are not put forth to cast any aspersion on accepted Biblical beliefs and truths—they only use common language, such as “clone—” and sometimes it can be perceived as the replacement of God’s miracles with man’s science: certainly not! It is the establishment of science as the essential and exclusive province of God in the description and creation of those things around us—including ourselves. Cloning to us is science-fiction; new science that beggars description. Cloning to the Bible is a mere trifle; a logical way to imbue Adam and Eve with the essential characteristics of the earth the lived in to establish dominion (more on this in the paper, “The Clones Of Eden”). It is not to be feared; cloning, like all science, is God’s—even in our imperfect way of stumbling toward an understanding of genetics and the basis of life.

The Theolog Modalities are meant to provide a focus; to fill in gaps; to legitimize; to provide commentary; to instigate conversations; to create an impetus for belief.

That is what *The Theolog Modalities* are: a way to investigate the things of the Bible with energy and excitement—while not treading too deeply into the tricky ground of ingrained belief; this statement can be explained more fully by saying what *The Theolog Modalities* is not:

- 1) It is not a way to challenge the established things of the Bible
- 2) It is not meant to create cultic beliefs: these concepts vector toward the Bible alone
- 3) It is not meant to replace or modify doctrine
- 4) It is not meant to add to, or take away from any part of the Bible, or our belief in it

Now that I have established the philosophy and concept of what *The Theolog Modalities* is, I can move on to the more scientific isometric: what the terms mean, how they figure into the structure of the studies, and where we go from here.

The next important step in this description is the definitions which surround the nomenclature:

A **Theolog** is a PARRC-derived nomenclature for a theological analog. We understand that the-ology is the study of things pertaining to God; therefore, we now need a conceptual definition of the word “analog:”

1. Something that bears an analogy to something else: .
2. Biology. An organ or structure that is similar in function to one in another kind of organism but is of dissimilar evolutionary origin.
3. Chemistry. A structural derivative of a parent compound that often differs from it by a single element.

The salient parts of these definitions—as they apply to the “Theolog—” are “*bearing an analogy (comparison) to something else;*” “*similar in function;*” “*a structural derivative.*”

Now for a look at the word *modality*:

1. The fact, state, or quality of being modal.
2. A tendency to conform to a general pattern or belong to a particular group or category.
3. Logic. The classification of propositions on the basis of whether they assert or deny the possibility, impossibility, contingency, or necessity of their content.
4. Philosophy. The particular appearance, form, or manner in which an underlying substance, or a permanent aspect or attribute of it, is manifested.
5. Logic.
 - a. See modality.
 - b. The arrangement or order of the propositions in a syllogism according to both quality and quantity.
 - c. Statistics. The value or item occurring most frequently in a series of observations or statistical data.
 - d. Mathematics. The number or range of numbers in a set that occurs the most frequently.
 - e. Geology. The mineral composition of a sample of igneous rock.
 - f. Physics. Any of numerous patterns of wave motion or vibration.

The salient parts of these definitions—as they apply to “Modalities—” are “*fact, state, or quality;*” “*conform to a general pattern;*” “*the classification of propositions on the basis of whether they assert or deny....;*” “*the particular appearance, form, or manner...or a permanent aspect or attribute of it, is manifested;*” “*the arrangement or order of the propositions in a syllogism according to both quality and quantity;*” “*the value of an item occurring most frequently in a series of observations or statistical data;*” “*occurs the most frequently;*” “*numerous patterns.*”

These represent the bases of the stable nucleus of the modeling process we call *The Theolog Modalities*.

Now we must provide a discussion of the process.

These “modalities” are divided into two mechanics:

- 1) **Theoinduction**, which employs the logical argument called *Induction*; this process largely employs the generalization for targeting fact. An example of induction would be: if you have a box of chocolates, and taste one at random, finding it is cherry-filled; taste another one from another part of the box, and it is cherry-filled; then taste another one from an entirely different part of the box—and it is, too—then you will TEND to conclude that it is a box of cherry-filled chocolates. Even though you have not proven it decisively, it is enough to go on to the next step.
- 2) **Theoduction**, which employs the logical argument called deduction; this process largely employs the arguments “IF—THEN” or “ARE—BUT” to further establish fact. An example of deduction would be “IF God is All-Powerful, and I am a human, THEN God is more powerful than me;” another one would be “All Fords are vehicles, BUT not all vehicles are Fords.” Through this mechanism, you can further derive saliency about a model.

Here is how it could function as a process:

I want to go to a Cardinals baseball home game—and I need to establish exactly where to go.

I use induction in the following ways:

- 1) It is the Cardinals baseball team—so the home games will be in St. Louis
- 2) It will be sometime between April and October
- 3) It will be in Busch Stadium

SO now, through simple induction, I know to go to St. Louis between April and October, and have at least \$25.00 with me (without this process, I might go to Los Angeles in February, with empty pockets).

I use deduction in the following ways:

- 1) If it is in St. Louis, then it is 250 miles east of my home city, Kansas City, MO (let’s say)
- 2) If it is in downtown St. Louis, then it will be near: the Gateway Arch, Laclede’s Landing, and the Edward Jones Dome (and a Thursday, at 7:05 PM)
- 3) If I am near the Mississippi River, then I am near the stadium
- 4) If I am near all these landmarks at the same time, I should be able to SEE Busch; therefore, using induction and deduction—AND NO MAP OR DIRECTIONS—I make it to the game!

In much the same way, The Theolog Modalities are pictures (or paintings, if you will) that are created using the inductive and deductive facts surrounding an event; since it is an abstract concept, it can be about an historical, contemporary, or future event.

The purpose of these modalities is to make the events, facts, or prophecies COME TO LIFE in a way which makes them more real, accessible, mentally reachable, and real-time.

It is like landing an airplane on instruments: you have no sun or stars; no view of the ground, or the runway—yet using the altimeter and other instruments you are able to safely land the plane—even without the normal sets of data and events to pull from.

The Theolog Modalities are meant to bring to life: the resurrection, the experience of Jesus in the wilderness, how the rapture can be a dimensional translocation, how the soul may be a neural net that can be uploaded when we die back to be with the Lord and used again for His purposes in a glorified body, as promised in the New Testament).

The Theolog Modalities graphically and with the usages of science and history portray events in the past like the parting of the Red Sea; the writing of the Ten Commandments in the stone and granite of Sinai; the tremendous energies (and subsequent photographing of the image of Christ on the Shroud) of the resurrection event—and much more.

The Theolog Modalities will represent the watershed for much of the “speculative” and “what if?” type of Advanced Idea Mechanics and Things To Come studies; they will attempt to provide a “wax model” of the original—so accurate in as much as we can provide that you are virtually seeing the thing as it happened—as it was—or as it will be...

These modalities are again for illustration; for making serious the concepts; for giving them the mechanisms whereby they can become believabilities; for generating discussions; for attracting attention; for speaking into the world (from the unique perspective of actualized Christianity) about the contemporary issues of the day—and how the Bible is at the center of everything...

It is with great pleasure that *The Theolog Modalities* are included in the extensive research library of mechanisms that PARRC Research will use for the defense of the faith; for the uncovering of supplementary and complementary reasons to believe; for the establishment of new knowledge through the correlation of existing fact into the matrices that reveal the true nature of our lives, our spiritual heritages, and our eternal destinies.

Join us on this journey as we travel into the heart of understanding with models that give us “instrument landing” capability—and therefore allow us to go forward into uncharted territory...

May the Lord be magnified in these works!

